Australian Grain Exporters Association

Submission to the Public Consultation in relationto GrainCorp Operations Limitedgsproposed Port Terminal Services Access Undertaking

Introduction

The Australian Grain Exporters Association (AGEA) was formed in 15380 and is the representative body for exporters of Australian grain. AGEA representsits members to facilitate an efficient and effective export Industry and support Australian grains and oilseeds in both domestic and export markets. Members are active in grain accumulation, storage, handling and processing, as well as risk management and hedging strategies involving commodity futures, foreign currency and counterparty risk. AGEA member companies also have substantial investments in non-grain sectors of the rural emnomy, such as meat processing and intensive livestock production, oilseed crushing, fertiliser distribution, ocean freight ownership and bulk and container shipping.

AGEA's submission to the ACCC public consultation **onthe** proposed GrainCorp port terminal services **access** undertakings addresses the questions raised by ACCC in its issues paper.

AGEA response to ACCC issuesfor comment

Approach to pricing

AGEA believes that **the** 'publish and negotiate'approach **has** worked in relation to the **port** terminal services agreements offered **by** GrainCorp. Inrelation to arbitration, this **has** not been tested as far as AGEA **is** aware and thus, AGEA cannot make any comment.

The measures have provided a framework which has allowed access seekers to c~mmerciallynegotiate with

GrainCorp. There were some initial challenges inachieving an agreement that was satisfactory to users as GrainCorp adopted a
'no-negotiate approach", however this positionchanged in early 2010, and negotiation was entered into. AGEA understands that all port
users have now signed agreementswith GrainCorp.

Flexibility to varythe port loading pmtocols

The flexible approach rothe port loading protocols [i.e. allowing GrainCofp to vary the port loading protocols without seeking formal approval from the ACCC) has not caused any concerns. AGEA supports this flexibility as part of the framework and is not aware of any issues for Australian wheat exporters as a result of this flexibility. AGEA and exporters cannot speak for any issues that GrainCorp may have incurred.

In general, AGEA understandsthat access seekers are relatively satisfied with the wnsultation process carried out by GrainCorp prior to varying its port terminal protocols. The consultation process has improved in recent times and this is reflected inagreements now being signed, despitethe initial resistance.

Individual exporters may have incurred issues which they may choose to raise directly with the Commission.

AGEA Submission page1

Substance of the port loadingprotocols

AGEA does not believe that GrainCorp's pon loading protocols have **been** fully tested yet in terms of the ability to efficiently allocate port loading capacitydue to the small crop on the east coast in 2009. This is likely **ro** be tested in the current season.

Similarly, it is hard to be definitive on whether the 'first come, first served' approach to allocation of capacity is sufficient to efficiently allocate resources in a year where demand e-ceeds supply as this has not yet been tested. In principle, AGEA believes that the 'first come, first served' approach can work efficiently, however, the effectiveness will be impacted by a couple offadon, such as whether:

GrainCorp over-allocates or favours their trading division (this has not been evident to date)

r Inland ineficiencies/capacity allocation overrides port capacity allocation

Substance of the IndicativeAccess Agreement

The standard terms proposed initially were not acceptable, and nor was the no-negotiate approach that GrainCorp commenced with in [ate 2009 and early 2010. However, the GrainCorp approach improved **in** March 2010 and negotiation become possible.

There did appear to be some lack of clarity in relation to whether the standard terms could be varied. AGEA believes it would assist if ACCC provided clear guidelines on the ability to vary standard terms to deliver a better outcome than standard terms to individual access users and that such terms should not be less advantageous than those applying to the GrainCorp trading division where similar benchmarks apply.

Dispute resolution

As noted above, the dispute resolution provisions in the 2009 Undertaking have not been tested to **AGEA's** knowledge. In principle, no issues are expected with the dispute resolution process.

Term of the undertaking

AGEA has no issues with the proposed term of the Proposed Undertaking as put forward by Grain-rp.

Publicationofkey port information

AGEA has no issues with GrainCorp's publication of key port information.